



Yeovil Town Council

**Town House
19 Union Street
Yeovil
Somerset
BA20 1PQ**

Phone 01935 382424
Fax 01935 382429
E-mail alan.tawse@yeovil.gov.uk

The Meeting... **Buildings and Civic Matters Committee**

The Time... **7.00pm**

The Date... **Tuesday 21 September 2010**

The Place... **Town House, 19 Union Street, Yeovil**

If you need this information in large print, Braille, audio or another language, please ring 01935 382424



Alan Tawse

Alan Tawse
Town Clerk

15 September 2010

Buildings and Civic Matters Committee

To: All Members of the Buildings and Civic Matters Committee

Philip Chandler (Ex-officio)

Clive Davis (Ex-officio)

Bridget Dollard

Dave Gooding

Pete Goodman

Dave Greene

Peter Gubbins

John Hann

Andrew Kendall (Vice-Chairman)

Tony Lock (Chairman)

Wes Read

A G E N D A

PUBLIC COMMENT (15 minutes)

1. **MINUTES**

To approve the Minutes of the meeting held on 20 July 2010.

2. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

3. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

4. **CORRESPONDENCE**

5. **COMMUNITY SAFETY**

To consider a report by the Town Clerk attached at page 3.

6. **PCSO ATTENDANCE**

To consider a report by the Assistant Town Clerk attached at page 4.

7. **NEW INITIATIVES**

To consider a report by the Town Clerk and Assistant Town Clerk attached at pages 5 to 7.

8. **WAR MEMORIAL**

To consider a report by the Town Clerk and Assistant Town Clerk attached at pages 8 to 9.

9. **QUEENSWAY SUBWAY UPGRADE SCHEME SUMMARY AND QUESTIONNAIRE**

To consider the scheme summary and questionnaire attached at pages 10 to 16.

10. **PROPOSED LIMITED WAITING – WYNDHAM STREET AND SHERBORNE ROAD, YEOVIL**

To consider the Proposed Limited Waiting List Order as set out in the schedule attached at pages 17 to 25.

11. FINANCIAL STATEMENT – JUNE/JULY 2010

To consider the Financial Statement for the period 1 June to 31 July 2010 attached at pages 26 to 31.

PUBLIC COMMENT (15 Minutes)

5. **COMMUNITY SAFETY**

The sum of £3,899 remains uncommitted in the Committee's budget for 2010/11, and Members are requested to consider ways by which this budget could be used to help improve community safety in the Town.

All agreed suggestions will be investigated by the officers and costed proposals brought back to the next meeting.

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to consider the matter.

(Alan Tawse, Town Clerk –01935 382424)

6. **PCSO ATTENDANCE AT FUTURE COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

Following attendance by Sergeant Mark Hunt at the July Buildings and Civic Matters Committee, and the decision to ask him to attend meetings on a twice-yearly basis, the Chairman has suggested that a PCSO is invited to attend the meetings in between. This would mean that a PCSO would be invited to attend four meetings a year, attending two meetings in between each of the meetings attended by the Sergeant.

The PCSOs would be asked to provide a brief summary of significant issues in the Town and highlight any particular concerns or areas which are requiring particular attention. The PCSO in attendance may also be able to comment on ideas which may be put forward as suggestions for Community Safety Initiatives.

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to consider the matter.

(Sally Freemantle, Assistant Town Clerk –01935 382424)

7. NEW INITIATIVES BUDGET

Introduction

Mindful of the need to develop the range of services that we deliver to the people of Yeovil Town, the Town Council agreed to include an allocation of £20,000 in the 2010/11 budget towards new initiatives.

It was agreed that all the service committees would be invited to put forward bids for the use of this new fund, and that the Town Council would decide how this new fund will be spent.

Earlier this year, the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee were asked to give guidance on the process to be followed, and they have agreed that all services committees be reminded of the need for this budget to be used for *new* initiatives.

They also agreed that, to allow sufficient time for ideas to be drawn up and developed, the proposals that emerge be considered at the September meeting of the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee and referred to the October meeting of the Town Council for determination.

This will enable all suggestions that were identified during the July cycle of meetings to be investigated and costed by the officers, and for their findings to be reported back to the service committees during the September cycle of meetings, and for each committee's priorities to be agreed and put forward to the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee.

Proposal 1

At the last meeting of the Committee, Members requested that the possibility of assistance towards the costs of an alternative to A frames in the Town Centre be investigated and the officers' findings be reported to this meeting.

Information

Members will be aware that, in recent months, the District Council's Planning Enforcement Officer has contacted traders whose A frames are in breach of that Authority's planning protocol on the use of such devices on the public highway to advertise businesses. This has resulted in many more traders complying with the protocol.

The action has been taken in order to strike an appropriate balance between the interests of those traders who wish to use A frames and the need to provide a safe environment for all visitors to the Town.

To help raise visitors' awareness of the location of retailers and businesses in the Town, the Town Centre Manager arranged for 7,000 copies of the new Town Centre shopping leaflet to be circulated prior to the enforcement action taking place.

Following on from these developments, a number of traders in Union Street and in Wine Street have raised the possibility of some form of permanent advertising board being installed at the junctions of these streets with Middle Street to help promote the location of their businesses. A number of meetings have since taken place between the traders and representatives of the District Council and the Town Council

to explore possible ways by which this idea could be progressed. It is likely that any proposals, which emerge from these discussions, will need the support of the Planning Authority and the Highway Authority.

Turning to the costs involved, the Town Council does not have any express powers to contribute towards the provision of advertising boards used solely to promote the location of commercial businesses. However, there is scope to help meet the costs of boards, which include public information.

At this stage, it is difficult to advise on potential costs.

Coincidentally, the Secretaries of State for Communities and Local Government and for Transport have recently written to the leaders of all principal councils about their commitment to reduce street clutter – including advertising equipment and telephone boxes, which they have pointed out “have a significant impact on the street environment, if not placed carefully.” Guidance is expected to be published by the end of the year.

In addition, the Highway Authority have recently indicated that arrangements have been put in place for their Enforcement and Licensing Team to undertake a countywide review of the current policy and procedure for the use of A frames on the highway, which they have added is likely to take some time. In the meantime, they have undertaken to investigate Middle Street and The Borough to gain a better understanding of the situation.

Recommendation

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to note the above developments and to consider whether it wishes to support this proposal going forward to the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee and the Town Council for further consideration and possible funding from the New Initiatives budget.

(Alan Tawse, Town Clerk – 01935 382424)

Proposal 2

At the last meeting of the Committee, Members also requested that the cost of procuring a notice board for each ward be investigated and the officers’ findings be reported to this meeting.

Information

The cost of a wood effect notice board with two doors, size 992mm x 726mm, similar to the one pictured below would be approximately £1,200 each.



Or a Woodland Oak board with two doors, size 1270mm x 868mm, similar to the one pictured below would cost approximately £1,100 each.



Both of these boards would display 8 x A4 posters/notices.

Other considerations would include installation and cleaning and maintenance (which would be higher for the real wood boards). It would also be necessary to consider who would be keeping the information in the boards up to date, either a Ward Member, or a member of staff, and the costs involved would depend on the frequency of the information and notices being changed.

Taking the cost of the first example above, which would require less maintenance, the total purchase of a board for each ward would be £4,800. The cost of installation of the boards (depending on the surface re-instatement) would be approximately £50 each, making the total initial cost approximately £5,000.

Recommendation

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to note the above information and to consider whether it wishes to support this proposal going forward to the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee and the Town Council for further consideration and possible funding from the New Initiatives budget.

(Sally Freemantle, Assistant Town Clerk – 01935 382424)

8. **WAR MEMORIAL**

(a) Repair

Following the damage caused to the War Memorial in the Borough in July, works have now been carried out to restore the pieces of the broken pinnacle and fix it to the War Memorial once again. Police enquiries are continuing into the person responsible for the damage.

(b) Enhancements

The Committee had also requested that a system be installed around the base of the war memorial to keep the wreaths in place. The system of eyebolts and wires, which will form a temporary restraint for the wreaths is due to be installed at the end of September following the grant of Listed Building Consent by the Planning Authority. The eyebolts will screw into specially installed anchors at the corners of the steps at the base of the war memorial. The anchors will be recessed so when the eyebolts and wires are no longer required to hold the wreaths in place they will be removed and the anchors will be hardly visible. The eyebolts and wires will be installed on the day after Remembrance Sunday and removed during the following month. They will then be stored until they are required again the next year.

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to note these matters.

(Sally Freemantle, Assistant Town Clerk – 01935 382424)

(c) Maintenance

The Town Clerk recently attended a conference in Bristol organised by the War Memorials Trust. The conference covered a range of issues – including the cleaning of memorials.

The view of the Trust is that war memorials should only be cleaned where dirt/soiling obscures inscriptions or is damaging. It was explained that cleaning too often or in the wrong way can speed up decay.

Where cleaning is deemed necessary, the Trust supports the following methods:

- Water and non-metallic bristle brushes
- Steam cleaning systems
- Nebulous sprays
- Low pressure washing
- Light abrasive methods (for sandstone)
- Some chemical methods for sandstone, granites and marble
- Poultices
- Biocides
- Mild detergents (in specific cases)

The Trust does not support the following methods:

- Over abrasive methods such as sandblasting or grinding disks for re-facing
- Metallic brushes (steel, phosphor bronze)
- High pressure power washing
- Household detergents or bleach

- Unnecessary or over use of chemicals

The Doff system of cleaning, which is non-abrasive and does not use chemicals, is regarded as an acceptable method. However, there are different degrees of application and should this system be used, it is strongly recommended that a test be carried out first to an inconspicuous area of the stonework.

As reported to the last meeting, the estimated costs of a local specialist stonemason carrying out cleaning work using this system (including the cost of providing safety barriers and temporary tower scaffolding) to the War Memorial and to the nearby Millennium Clock is as follows:

- Borough War Memorial £2,226
- Millennium Clock £1,282

Both structures have been constructed from local hamstone.

The Committee will recall that South Somerset District Council's Conservation Officer has been contacted to obtain advice on cleaning the stone having regard to the method suggested by the local stonemason.

His comments are reiterated below:

"The general view is that unless the dirt on the stone is actually harming the stone then it shouldn't be removed. Masonry cleaning purely for aesthetic reasons should be avoided. The dirt along with lichen and other deposits can actually add to the character of a building, and is often referred to favourably as 'the patina of age', which can't be artificially created."

He has further advised that Listed Building Consent would be required to clean the stone structures and that if the justification is purely for aesthetic reasons, the application would probably be turned down.

Turning to the advice from the War Memorials Trust, the inscriptions on the Borough War Memorial are engraved on bronze plaques affixed to each of its six faces. In the case of the Millennium Clock, they are carved into the stonework. All of these inscriptions are clearly visible and legible. The stonemason who inspected the two structures has confirmed that the current condition of each structure is not deteriorating due to dirt/soiling and that the cleaning works for which he has quoted would be undertaken solely for aesthetic reasons.

Although the Committee has a small budget for the War Memorial (£290) and the Millennium Clock (£250) these are allocated to cover the cost of any additional inscriptions that may be included on the plaques on the Memorial each year, and to cover the cost of servicing the Clock. Any additional works would need to be the subject of a request to the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee for funding from Contingencies.

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to note the advice of the War Memorials Trust in this matter and to consider the best way forward.

(Alan Tawse, Town Clerk – 01935 382424)

WAR MEMORIAL (continued)

(c) Maintenance

The Town Clerk recently attended a conference in Bristol organised by the War Memorials Trust. The conference covered a range of issues – including the cleaning of memorials.

The view of the Trust is that war memorials should only be cleaned where dirt/soiling obscures inscriptions or is damaging. It was explained that cleaning too often or in the wrong way can speed up decay.

Where cleaning is deemed necessary, the Trust supports the following methods:

- Water and non-metallic bristle brushes
- Steam cleaning systems
- Nebulous sprays
- Low pressure washing
- Light abrasive methods (for sandstone)
- Some chemical methods for sandstone, granites and marble
- Poultices
- Biocides
- Mild detergents (in specific cases)

The Trust does not support the following methods:

- Over abrasive methods such as sandblasting or grinding disks for re-facing
- Metallic brushes (steel, phosphor bronze)
- High pressure power washing
- Household detergents or bleach
- Unnecessary or over use of chemicals

The Doff system of cleaning, which is non-abrasive and does not use chemicals, is regarded as an acceptable method. However, there are different degrees of application and should this system be used, it is strongly recommended that a test be carried out first to an inconspicuous area of the stonework.

As reported to the last meeting, the estimated costs of a local specialist stonemason carrying out cleaning work using this system (including the cost of providing safety barriers and temporary tower scaffolding) to the War Memorial and to the nearby Millennium Clock is as follows:

- Borough War Memorial £2,226
- Millennium Clock £1,282

Both structures have been constructed from local hamstone.

The Committee will recall that South Somerset District Council's Conservation Officer has been contacted to obtain advice on cleaning the stone having regard to the method suggested by the local stonemason.

His comments are reiterated below:

"The general view is that unless the dirt on the stone is actually harming the stone then it shouldn't be removed. Masonry cleaning purely for aesthetic reasons should be avoided. The dirt along with lichen and other deposits can actually add to the character of a building, and is often referred to favourably as 'the patina of age', which can't be artificially created."

He has further advised that Listed Building Consent would be required to clean the stone structures and that if the justification is purely for aesthetic reasons, the application would probably be turned down.

Turning to the advice from the War Memorials Trust, the inscriptions on the Borough War Memorial are engraved on bronze plaques affixed to each of its six faces. In the case of the Millennium Clock, they are carved into the stonework. All of these inscriptions are clearly visible and legible. The stonemason who inspected the two structures has confirmed that the current condition of each structure is not deteriorating due to dirt/soiling and that the cleaning works for which he has quoted would be undertaken solely for aesthetic reasons.

Although the Committee has a small budget for the War Memorial (£290) and the Millennium Clock (£250) these are allocated to cover the cost of any additional inscriptions that may be included on the plaques on the Memorial each year, and to cover the cost of servicing the Clock. Any additional works would need to be the subject of a request to the Policy, Resources and Finance Committee for funding from Contingencies.

The Committee is **RECOMMENDED** to note the advice of the War Memorials Trust in this matter and to consider the best way forward.

(Alan Tawse, Town Clerk – 01935 382424)

Queensway Subway Upgrade, Yeovil

Scheme Summary

Background:

The scheme was to upgrade the facilities at West Hendford subway, Yeovil (the subway running between West Hendford and The Crescent under the A30 Queensway). The existing subway was for pedestrian use only and the scheme aimed to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists at this key crossing point through conversion to a segregated cycle route/footway

Funding:

The scheme has been fully funded from the County Council's Local Transport Plan (LTP) funding allocation.

The Scheme:

Main Objectives and what has been delivered:

The scheme has included the following measures:

- Both entry/exit footway/cycleway ramps have been widened to allow two cyclists to pass and to allow pushchairs and people with disabilities greater space.
- Alterations to and reconstruction of the existing pedestrian steps, which has allowed greater widths for both entry/exit footway/cycleway ramps, whilst also maintaining the steps for the able bodied.
- Re-grading of the existing embankment/landscaped areas next to the ramps to also allow for greater widths for the entry/exit ramps.
- Upgrading of the existing highway lighting and the provision of new lamp columns to illuminate the entry/exit ramp and stairway areas, which were previously unlit.
- Installation of signs and lines to delineate the segregated footway and cycleway, to ensure a safe passage through the newly designed subway.
- Extensive maintenance and new planting within the walled areas, in partnership with South Somerset District Council.

Additionally, works were also undertaken on footways leading from the Tesco area to the subway along West Hendford. This consisted of the installation of a number of dropped crossings, along with the associated tactile paving, where there were junctions of side roads with West Hendford.



Before Construction



After Construction

«Name»	please ask for	direct line
«Council»	Gary Frecknall	01823 358247
«Number»		GFrecknall@somerset.gov.uk
«Road»		
«Town»	my reference	your reference
«Postcode»	TD/0171/T/Queensway	
	Members	

Dear «Name»

Upgrade to the West Hendford Subway, Yeovil

As you will be aware Somerset County Council has been carrying out improvement works to upgrade the facilities at the West Hendford subway (the subway running between West Hendford and The Crescent under the A30 Queensway) .

With work now completed, we are keen to learn your views on the scheme and the way in which the works have been conducted. Please find attached a questionnaire and scheme summary. The summary sets out the background to the scheme and what has been achieved.

The scheme has been funded out of the County Council **Local Transport Plan (LTP)** funds for Highway and Transport Improvement. These funds are bid for and secured by Government approval. I should note that we are also conducting a road frontages resident's survey for a random selection of properties in the vicinity of the scheme.

We would be grateful if you would kindly complete the questionnaire and return it in the pre-paid envelope. We are keen to hear of any additional comments you may have.

Thank you very much for your time – your views are important to us.

Yours sincerely

Gary Frecknall
Highway Improvement Programmer

RESIDENTS QUESTIONNAIRE

Scheme Name: Scheme Number:	Queensway Subway Improvements CY000090
Scheme Purpose:	the scheme aimed to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists at this key crossing point, and to improve access for those wishing to travel through conversion to a segregated cycle route/footway.

Question

Rating
(Please circle one number only)

- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------------|
| 1 How disruptive did you find the construction works? | | Very
Disruptive | | | | | | | | | No
Disruption |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 2 If your response to question 1 was "5" or below, please rate the main causes of disruption you encountered. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Noise | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Fumes | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Traffic Congestion | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Personal Access | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Other | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Other Disruption:

Please provide further information below:-

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 3 If you needed any assistance or information from the people working on the site, how do you rate their helpfulness? | | Very
Unhelpful | | | | | | | | | Very
Helpful |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 4 If you contacted the County Council about the scheme, how do you rate the service you received? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

ELECTED MEMBER & TOWN OR PARISH COUNCIL QUESTIONNAIRE

Scheme Name: Scheme Number:	Queensway Subway Improvements CY000090
Scheme Purpose::	the scheme aimed to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists at this key crossing point, and to improve access for those wishing to travel through conversion to a segregated cycle route/footway.

Question

Rating (Please circle one number only)

- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|--------------------|
| 1 How do you rate our consultation with you about this scheme? | Very
Poor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Good |
| 2 How do you rate the information we provided to you before the construction works started? | Very
Poor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Good |
| 3 How do you rate the information we provided to the public before the construction works started? | Very
Poor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Good |
| 4 How do you rate the helpfulness of the County Council's Project Manager? | Very
Unhelpful | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Helpful |
| 5 How do you rate the quality of the finished works? | Very
Poor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Good |
| 6 In your opinion, how successful is the completed scheme in fulfilling its purpose?
(As set out above) | Very
Unsuccessful | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Very
Successful |
| 7 How do you rate the level of improvement achieved by the scheme? | Worse | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Much
Improved |
| 8 What could we do to improve the way in which we consulted with you and/or carried out the work? | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Please feel free to add any other comments:-

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

We appreciate all comments that we receive, and will continue to monitor them in order to improve our service.

Name / Organisation:

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Thank you for your time.

Somerset Highways
South Somerset Area Office
Mead Avenue
Houndstone Business Park
Yeovil
Somerset
BA22 8RT
Tel: 0845 345 9155

*please add to BCM letter
append + place
documents on deposit of
reception until
1 October.*

WWW.SOMERSET.GOV.UK



Yeovil Town Council
File No: BCM/013

RECEIVED - 2 SEP 2010

Thanks

Mr A Tawse, Clerk
Yeovil Town Council
The Town House
19 Union Street
Yeovil
Somerset BA20 1PQ

please ask for
Colin Fletcher

fax no.
01935 845845

my reference
CF/IJM/5.120
412186

e-mail
countyyroads-southsom
@somerset.gov.uk

your reference
1 September 2010

Dear Alan

PROPOSED LIMITED WAITING – WYDNHAM STREET & SHERBORNE ROAD, YEOVIL

You will recall that as part of the Reckleford Improvement Scheme, it was agreed that on completion and with substantially reduced traffic volumes, it would be possible to provide areas of limited waiting on parts of Wyndham Street and Sherborne Road.

As part of the consultation process and in the interest of progressing the matter quickly, it has been agreed to advertise the proposal at the same time.

I have enclosed the Public Notice, Statement of Reasons, Draft Traffic Order and Plan relating to this proposal. Could you please make this available for public inspection in the usual way.

I will be pleased to receive any observation the Town Council may have.

Yours sincerely
Colin Fletcher

Colin Fletcher
Traffic Engineer
South Somerset Area

Enc.

THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SOMERSET
(WYNDHAM STREET AND SHERBORNE ROAD, YEOVIL)
(REVOCATION AND RESTRICTED WAITING)
ORDER 2010

The County Council of Somerset propose to make an Order under Sections 1(1), 2(1 to 3) and 4(1) of the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984, the effect of which will be to revoke some parking restrictions and to introduce limited waiting as specified in the Schedule to this notice.

The usual exemptions will apply for the picking-up/setting down of passengers, loading/unloading, for disabled drivers, for the maintenance of the roads or services therein or for emergency vehicles.

A copy of the draft Order, a map showing the length of road affected and a statement of the Council's reasons for proposing to make the Order, may be inspected at the Town Council Offices, Union Street, Yeovil, or the Area Highways Office, Mead Avenue, Yeovil, during normal opening hours.

Any objection to the proposal, stating the grounds on which they are made must be sent in writing to the Area Highway Manager, not later than 30th September 2010.

Sonia Davidson-Grant
Corporate Director

Dated: 9th September 2010

SCHEDULE

WYNDHAM STREET (EAST SIDE)

From a point 20 metres north of its junction with Sherborne Road for a distance of 37 metres northwards.

SHERBORNE ROAD (NORTH SIDE)

From a point 16 metres north-east of its junction with Wyndham Street for a distance of 23 metres northeastwards.

From a point 54 metres north-east of its junction with Wyndham Street for a distance of 16 metres northeastwards.

(Measurements taken from the northern end of the island at the junction of Middle Street and Wyndham Street).

DATED 2010

ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION
ACT 1984

THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF
SOMERSET
(WYNDHAM STREET AND
SHERBORNE ROAD, YEOVIL)
(REVOCATION AND
RESTRICTED WAITING) ORDER
2010.

THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SOMERSET
(WYNDHAM STREET AND SHERBORNE ROAD, YEOVIL)
(REVOCATION AND RESTRICTED WAITING)
ORDER 2010

THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF SOMERSET in exercise of its powers under Sections 1(1), 2(1 to 3) and 4(1) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") and of all other enabling powers and after consultation with the Chief Officer of Police in accordance with Part III of Schedule 9 to the Act, HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

1. IN this Order: -

"disabled person's vehicle" has the same meaning as in the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Exemption for Disabled Persons) (England and Wales) Regulations, 1986;

"disabled person's badge" has the same meaning as in The Disabled Persons (Badges for Motor Vehicles)(England) Regulations, 2000 and

"parking disc" means a device 125mm square and coloured blue and capable of showing the quarter hour period during which a period of waiting has begun.

2. (a) a disabled persons badge is in the relevant position when: -

- (i) in the case of a vehicle fitted with a front windscreen the badge exhibited thereon with the obverse side facing forwards on the near side of and immediately behind the windscreen.
- (ii) In the case of a vehicle not fitted with a front windscreen the badge is exhibited in a conspicuous position on the front nearside of the vehicle and

(b) a parking disc in the relevant position when the disc is exhibited therein with the side which shows the time facing outwards and immediately behind the windscreen or side window nearest to the kerb.

3. The District of South Somerset (Yeovil Town – Various Streets) Traffic Regulation (and Consolidation) Order 1998, is hereby in part revoked as far as Wyndham Street and Sherborne Road is referred to in the Schedule to this Order.

4. SAVE as provided in Articles 5 and 6 of this Order no person shall, except upon the direction or with the permission of a Police Constable in uniform or a Civilian Parking Enforcement Officer, in uniform, cause or permit any vehicle to wait on the sides of road specified in the Schedule to this Order:
 - a. for a longer period than 30 minutes; or
 - b. if a period of less than 1 hour has elapsed since the termination during the said hours of the last period of waiting (if any) by that vehicle on that side of the road.

5. NOTHING in Article 4 of this Order shall render it unlawful to cause or permit any vehicle to wait on the side of road or in the length of road referred to therein for so long as may be necessary.
 - (a) to enable a person to board or alight from the vehicle;
 - (b) to enable goods to be loaded onto or unloaded from the vehicle;
 - (c) to enable the vehicle, if it cannot conveniently be used for such purpose in any other road, to be used in connection with any of the following operations, namely: -
 - (i) building, industrial or demolition operations;
 - (ii) the removal of any obstruction to traffic;
 - (iii) the maintenance, improvement or reconstruction of the said sides of road or lengths of road: or
 - (iv) the laying, erection, alteration or repair in, or on land adjacent to, the said sides of the road or lengths of the road of any sewer or of any main, pipe or apparatus for the supply of gas, water or electricity or of any telecommunications apparatus as defined on the Telecommunications Act 1984.
 - (d) to enable the vehicle, if it cannot be conveniently used for such purpose in any other road, to be used in the service of a local authority or a water authority in pursuance of statutory powers or duties;
 - (e) to enable the vehicle to be used for the purpose of delivery or collecting postal packets as defined in Schedule 87 of the Postal Services Act 2000;
 - (f) to enable the vehicle to take in petrol, oil, water or air from any garage situated on or adjacent to the said sides of road or lengths of road;
 - (g) to enable the vehicle to wait at or near to any premises situated on or adjacent to the said sides of road or lengths of road for so long as such waiting is reasonably necessary in connection with any wedding or funeral or;
 - (h) to enable the vehicle to be used for Fire Brigade, Ambulance or Police purposes.

6. NOTHING in Article 4 of this Order shall render it unlawful to cause or permit a disabled person's vehicle which displays in the relevant position a disabled person's badge and a parking disc (on which the driver or other person in charge if the vehicle has marked the time at which the period of waiting began) to wait on the sides of road or in the lengths of road referred to in that Article for a period not exceeding three hours (not being a period separated by an interval or less than one hour from a previous period of waiting by the same vehicle on that side of road or in that length of road).

7. THE prohibitions and restrictions imposed by the Order shall be in addition to and not in derogation from any restriction or requirement imposed by any regulation made or having effect as if made under the Act of 1984 or by or under any other enactment.

8. THIS Order shall come into operation on the day of 2010 and may be cited as the County Council of Somerset (Wyndham Street and Sherborne Road, Yeovil) (Revocation and Restricted Waiting) Order 2010.

Dated this day of, 2010

THE COMMON SEAL of the)
)
 COUNTY COUNCIL OF SOMERSET)
)
 Was hereunto affixed in the)
)
 Presence of:-)

Number in Register.....

SCHEDULE

WYNDHAM STREET (EAST SIDE)

From a point 20 metres north of its junction with Sherborne Road for a distance of 37 metres northwards.

SHERBORNE ROAD (NORTH SIDE)

1. From a point 16 metres north-east of its junction with Wyndham Street for a distance of 23 metres northeastwards.
 2. From a point 54 metres north-east of its junction with Wyndham Street for a distance of 16 metres northeastwards.
- (Measurements taken from the northern end of the island at the junction of Middle Street and Wyndham Street).

STATEMENT OF REASONS

It is expedient to make this Order:

1. to avoid danger to other persons or other traffic using the road, or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising.
2. for facilitating the passage on the road of any class of traffic (including pedestrians).

